

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vigginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/021,135	11/29/2001	Wilfred C. Kittler JR.	1330.65923/DTI 4021	7605
7:	590 06/25/2003			
Thomas R. Juettner			EXAMINER	
Greer, Burns & Crain, Ltd. Suite 2500 300 South Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606			PIZIALI, ANDREW T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1775	3
			DATE MAILED: 06/25/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•				AS-3		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/021,135	KITTLER, WILFRE	D C.		
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Andrew T Piziali	1775			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sh t with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum o will apply and will expire SIX (6) it cause the application to become	y a reply be timely filed  f thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  MONTHS from the mailing date of this cor be ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)[🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 h	November 2001 .		İ		
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Th	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims						
4)🖾	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application	) <b>.</b>				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			1		
8) Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment						
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) 🔲 Notice	iew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s e of Informal Patent Application (PTO			

Application/Control Number: 10/021,135

Art Unit: 1775

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Group I Claims 1-9, drawn to a conductive article, classified in class 428, subclass 701.

Group II Claims 10-20, drawn to a method of producing a conductive article, classified in class 427, subclass 108.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions of Group II and Group I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product. Rather than the currently claimed conductive article, the process as claimed can be used to make a conductive article wherein the material of high refractive index has an index of refraction less than the index of refraction of the substrate.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Rejoinder will be considered upon indication of allowable subject matter pursuant to MPEP 821.04. Upon election of the article claims, the examiner of record will examine the claims. Upon election of the method claims, the application will be transferred.

Application/Control Number: 10/021,135 Page 3

Art Unit: 1775

claimed invention:

4. Group I contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the

Group A

Species 1, claim 5, drawn to a conductive article wherein the conductive oxide has a thickness of

about 110 nm and a surface resistivity in the order of about 60 ohms per square.

Species 2, claim 9, drawn to a conductive article wherein the conductive oxide has a thickness of

about 20 nm and a surface resistivity in the order of about 400 ohms per square.

Group B

Species 1, claim 4, drawn to a conductive article wherein the article is adapted to be adhered at

the surface of its conductive layer to a display or lamination medium.

Species 2, claim 8, drawn to a conductive article wherein the article is adapted to be use with its

conductive layer exposed to air.

5. Upon the election of Group I, the applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a

single disclosed species, from each Group (A and B), for prosecution on the merits to which the

claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1

generic.

6. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the

species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable

Application/Control Number: 10/021,135

Art Unit: 1775

thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

- 7. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).
- 8. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 9. A telephone call was made to Thomas R. Juettner on 6/17/2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew T Piziali whose telephone number is (703) 306-0145. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (7:00-3:30).

Application/Control Number: 10/021,135

Art Unit: 1775

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones can be reached on (703) 308-3822. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-3822.

971

atp

June 17, 2003

Andrew T Piziali

Examiner Art Unit 1775

SUPER TORY PATENT EVANINER